## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORPOLK DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Libelant,

ADMIRALTY NO. 747

-7-

AFFIDAVIT

Tanker MRACHAM and her tackle apparel, furniture, equipment, etc., : in rem.

STATE OF NEW YORK )
COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

C.D. SHIAH, being duly sworn deposes and says:

I am the representative in the United States of Chinese Petroleum Corporation, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of a department of the Nationalist Government of China.

My attention has been called to the fact that the United States Government has commenced proceedings in this Court seeking to forfeit the American tanker MRACHAM. I have been advised that the United States Government contends that control of Meacham Corporation, owner of the tanker . RACHAM, was conferred upon certain Chinese interests. Apparently one of the principal claims of the Government in this connection is that Chinese Petroleum Corporation "controlled" Meacham Corporation as a result of a certain promissory note which was given by Meacham Corporation to Chinese Petroleum Corporation. The purpose of this affidavit is to call to the Court's attention the provisions of this note from which it can readily be seen that Chinese Petroleum Corporation most clearly did not and could not control the debtor corporation. A copy of the note is annexed hereto and marked Exhibit "A".

I wish to call the Court's particular attention to certain more important provisions in the note. The note was payable in installments over a period of over seven years. More than this, however, the position of Chinese Petroleum Corporation was particularly weak in that not only was the note in essence an income note but could, it would seem, be extended by the debtor more or less indefinitely. In this connection the note provides:

"If the net income of the Corporation, as hereinafter defined, shall be insufficient to permit the payment in full of the installment or installments of this note coming due in such year, the due date of the unpaid deficiency of such installment or installments shall be extended from year to year, if necessary, until the final maturity of this note on February 15, 1957, and thereafter for two additional years if necessary, and thereafter on mutually agreeable terms, until the Corporation has accumulated sufficient net income, as hereinafter defined, to enable it to pay such installment or installments."

It is difficult to see how a creditor who is in such a weak position as a holder of a note containing such provisions as the above could possibly be said to "control" the debtor corporation.

called attention to the fact that the vessel MEACHAN could not be sold without the approval of the payer of the note. Such a provision in no way places control of the debtor corporation in the hands of the creditor. It is one of the routine and common provisions in loans designed to give some measure of safety to the creditor and to prevent the squandering or dissipation of the debtor's assets. In other words the obvious purpose of such a provision is to prevent the debtor from disposing of its principal asset at a ridiculous price and thus in effect avoiding its obligation to repay a loan. It in no way places any control in the hands of the creditor over the Meacham Corporation nor does it enable it

to dictate the Corporation's policies or the operations of the wessel. Of course, once the note was paid in full Chinese Petroleum Corporation would have no further elaim of any kind whatsoever against the wessel's owner, the Meacham Corporation.

Chinese Petroleum Corporation does not now and never has owned or had any interest in any stock of Meacham Corporation or of any corporation affiliated with or in any way related to Meacham Corporation. In short, I wish to emphasize that there was no agreement or understanding whatsoever by which control of Meacham Corporation was conferred upon ar permitted to be exercised by Chinese Petroleum Corporation or any employee or representative thereof and that control of the corporation was not in fact exercised by said Chinese Petroleum Corporation or any employee or representative thereof.

Sworn to before me this

Gerald Herman

lith day of November, 1951.

Nasa S

> Q all k W.Co. Cert. dwohl v V.Co. Clerks v.Co.

## Meacham Corporation

\$1,595,000